

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,917	06/12/2006	Michael Berthon-Jones	3869/032 US	8983	
22440 GOTTI IEB R	7590 12/20/201 ACKMAN & REISMA		EXAM	IINER	
270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016-0601			TOTH, K	TOTH, KAREN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3735		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) BERTHON-JONES ET AL. 10/595.917 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	•	LAUIIIIIO	AIT OILL					
		KAREN E. TOTH	3735					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D source of time may be available under the provisions of 3 of Rt 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the analized date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the analized date of the communication period to reply a specified above, the maximum statisticity period period for reply a specified above, the maximum statisticity period oply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing departed mail and patient time adjustants. See 37 GFI 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2010.						
2a) 🖂	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the more							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🔯	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>37-48 and 56-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	∑ Claim(s) 1,2,11-14,23-36 and 49-55 is/are rejected.							
	7 \(\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tinit}}\text{\tin}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine	NF.						
			Evaminor					
10,0	O) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			ER 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
		Mariller. Note the attached Office	Action of lonn F	10-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachm	<b>1</b> (a)							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Iv/ail Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08)	Notice of Informal P	atent Application					

3) L Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_ Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3735

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 551(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 11, 13, 14, 23, 25-30, 32-35, and 49-54 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stahmann (US 2005/0115561).

Regarding claim 1, Stahmann discloses a method of treating sleep disordered breathing by controlling a machine to apply breathable gas at positive pressure to an airway of a patient comprising determining an arousal index of a patient for use in an outer loop of a control algorithm, the arousal index being a measure of the frequency of sleep arousals (paragraphs [0502], [0719], [0721], [0736], [0737]), monitoring the patient's respiratory airflow signal in an inner loop of the control algorithm to detect an airway obstruction (paragraphs [0729], [0743], [0744], [0750], [0754]; figure 16E), the control algorithm being used to adjust the positive pressure applied by the machine (paragraph [0696]) and if the arousal index is above a particular threshold, increasing the sensitivity of the obstruction detection and/or aggressiveness of a treatment, and if the arousal index is below a particular threshold, decreasing the sensitivity of the

Art Unit: 3735

obstruction detection and/or the aggressiveness of the treatment (paragraphs [0458], [0461], [0727], [0730], [0739], [0745], [0755]).

Regarding claim 2, Stahmann further discloses the arousal index being calculated from the size of the patient's breath (paragraph [0507], since minute ventilation is the product of tidal volume and respiratory rate).

Regarding claim 11, Stahmann further discloses analyzing data with a modified threshold sensitivity in response to detection of an arousal (paragraph [0425], [0600]).

Regarding claim 13, Stahmann discloses an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller (paragraph [0498], [0752]) for determining a patient's arousal index for use in an outer loop of a control algorithm, the arousal index being a measure of the frequency of sleep arousals (paragraphs [0502], [0719], [0721], [0736], [0737]), and monitoring the patient's respiratory airflow signal in an inner loop of the control algorithm to detect an airway obstruction (paragraphs [0743], [0744], [00754]), and means responsive to the arousal index being above a particular threshold for increasing the sensitivity of the obstruction detection and/or aggressiveness of a treatment, and responsive to the arousal index being below a particular threshold for decreasing the sensitivity of the obstruction detection and/or the aggressiveness of the treatment (paragraphs [0458], [0727], [0730], [0739], [0745], [0755]).

Regarding claim 14, Stahmann further discloses the arousal index being calculated from the size of the patient's breath (paragraph [0507], since minute ventilation is the product of tidal volume and respiratory rate).

Art Unit: 3735

Regarding claim 23, Stahmann further discloses analyzing data with a modified threshold sensitivity in response to detection of an arousal (paragraph [0425], [0600]).

Regarding claim 25, Stahmann discloses a method of monitoring and treating sleep disordered breathing by controlling a machine to apply breathable gas a positive pressure to the airway of a patient comprising using two control loops, wherein the inner loop is adapted to provide at least two treatment modes by the machine and the outer loop is adapted to monitor the effectiveness of therapy provided by the inner loop and control a change in modes if necessary (figure 21; paragraph [0454], [0696]).

Regarding claim 26, Stahmann further discloses treatment modes including basic continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and bi-level CPAP (paragraph [0202]).

Regarding claim 27, Stahmann further discloses distinguishing between central and obstructive apneas (figure 16E).

Regarding claim 28, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop determining at least two of central apneas, obstructive apneas, hypopneas, and desaturation events (figures 9, 12).

Regarding claim 29, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop determining at least one of an apnea hypopnea index, central apnea index, and desaturation index (paragraphs [0453], [0503]).

Regarding claim 30, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop monitoring the intensity and severity of snoring (element 139; paragraphs [0867]-[0891]).

Regarding claim 32, Stahmann further discloses distinguishing between central and obstructive apneas (figure 16E).

Art Unit: 3735

Regarding claim 33, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop determining at least two of central apneas, obstructive apneas, hypopneas, and desaturation events (figures 9, 12).

Regarding claim 34, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop determining at least one of an apnea hypopnea index, central apnea index, and desaturation index (paragraphs [0453], [0503]).

Regarding claim 35, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop monitoring the intensity and severity of snoring (element 139; paragraphs [0867]-[0891]).

Regarding claim 49, Stahmann discloses an apparatus for monitoring and treating sleep disordered breathing comprising two control loop mechanisms, wherein the inner loop is adapted to provide at least two treatment modes and the outer loop is adapted to monitor the effectiveness of therapy provided by the inner loop and control a change in modes if necessary (figures 1 and 21; paragraph [0454]).

Regarding claim 50, Stahmann further discloses treatment modes including basic continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and bi-level CPAP (paragraph [0202]).

Regarding claim 51, Stahmann further discloses the inner loop distinguishing between central and obstructive apneas (figure 16E).

Regarding claim 52, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop determining at least two of central apneas, obstructive apneas, hypopneas, and desaturation events (figures 9, 12).

Art Unit: 3735

Regarding claim 53, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop determining at least one of an apnea hypopnea index, central apnea index, and desaturation index (paragraphs [0453], [0503]).

Regarding claim 54, Stahmann further discloses the outer loop monitoring the intensity and severity of snoring (element 139; paragraphs [0867]-[0891]).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 12 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stahmann in view of Axe (US 5458137).

Regarding claim 12, Stahmann discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, as described above, except for increasing a rate at which treatment pressure in the inner loop is increased being a function of the aggressiveness of treatment. Axe teaches a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising increasing a rate of treatment pressure as a function of the aggressiveness of treatment (column 6, lines 30-37), in order to expedite treatment of the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have followed Stahmann and increased treatment pressure as a function of aggressiveness of treatment, as taught by Axe, in order to expedite treatment of the patient.

Art Unit: 3735

Regarding claim 24, Stahmann discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, as described above, except for the rate at which the controller increases treatment pressure in the inner loop being a function of the aggressiveness of treatment. Axe teaches an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing configured to increase a rate of treatment pressure as a function of the aggressiveness of treatment (column 6, lines 30-37), in order to expedite treatment of the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of Stahmann and increased treatment pressure as a function of aggressiveness of treatment, as taught by Axe, in order to expedite treatment of the patient.

 Claims 31, 36, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stahmann in view of Wickham (US 2007/0084464).

Regarding claim 31, Stahmann discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, as described above, except for the outer loop monitoring flow flattening. Wickham teaches a method of monitoring and treating sleep disordered breathing comprising monitoring flow flattening (paragraphs [0002], [0011], [0042]), in order to detect an airway obstruction in the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have followed Stahmann and used the outer loop to monitor flow flattening, as taught by Wickham, in order to detect an airway obstruction in the patient.

Art Unit: 3735

Regarding claim 36, Stahmann discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, as described above, except for the outer loop monitoring flow flattening. Wickham teaches a method of monitoring and treating sleep disordered breathing comprising monitoring flow flattening (paragraphs [0002], [0011], [0042]), in order to detect an airway obstruction in the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have followed Stahmann and used the outer loop to monitor flow flattening, as taught by Wickham, in order to detect an airway obstruction in the patient.

Regarding claim 55, Stahmann discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, as described above, except for the outer loop monitoring flow flattening. Wickham teaches an apparatus for monitoring and treating sleep disordered breathing with a mechanism for monitoring flow flattening (paragraphs [0002], [0011], [0042]), in order to detect an airway obstruction in the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have followed Stahmann and used the outer loop to monitor flow flattening, as taught by Wickham, in order to detect an airway obstruction in the patient.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-10 and 15-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3735

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claims 3 and 4, including, *inter-alia*, a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising determining if an arousal index is high by monitoring a flow rate over a sequence of breaths and determining if the sequence is followed by a large breath, in combination with all other limitations in the claims.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 5, including, *inter-alia*, a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising using a continuous positive airway pressure apparatus to detect a post apnea sigh or yawn to determine a sleep arousal, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claims 6 and 7, including, *inter-alia*, a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising detection of airway obstruction using average airflow shape, and increasing sensitivity of detection of obstruction by reducing a number of breaths in the average, in combination with all other limitations in the claims.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 8, including, inter-alia, a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising adjusting a threshold level necessary to increase a treatment pressure in a respiratory airflow monitoring loop is adjusted to change the sensitivity of detection of an obstruction, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 9, including, inter-alia, a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising increasing a time constant of decay of treatment pressure in a respiratory airflow

Art Unit: 3735

monitoring loop in order to increase aggressiveness of treatment, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 10, including, *inter-alia*, a method of treating sleep disordered breathing comprising increasing incremental changes in treatment pressure in a respiratory airflow monitoring loop in order to increase aggressiveness of treatment, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claims 15 and 16, including, *inter-alia*, an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller that determines if an arousal index is high by monitoring a flow rate over a sequence of breaths and determines if the sequence is followed by a large breath, in combination with all other limitations in the claims.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 17, including, *inter-alia*, an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller that uses a continuous positive airway pressure apparatus to detect a post apnea sigh or yawn to determine a sleep arousal, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claims 18 and 19, including, *inter-alia*, an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller that detects airway obstruction using average airflow shape, and increases sensitivity of detection of obstruction by reducing a number of breaths in the average, in combination with all other limitations in the claims.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 20, including, *inter-alia*, an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller that adjusts a threshold level necessary to increase a treatment pressure in a respiratory airflow monitoring loop to change the sensitivity of detection of an obstruction, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 21, including, *inter-alia*, an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller that increases a time constant of decay of treatment pressure in a respiratory airflow monitoring loop in order to increase aggressiveness of treatment, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the invention of claim 22, including, *inter-alia*, an apparatus for treating sleep disordered breathing comprising a controller that increases incremental changes in treatment pressure in a respiratory airflow monitoring loop in order to increase aggressiveness of treatment, in combination with all other limitations in the claim.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4 October 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Stahmann does not have two control loops, an outer loop controlling an inner loop. The Examiner disagrees. As noted by the Applicant,

Stahmann has an outer control loop determining an arousal index. Applicant does not

Art Unit: 3735

consider Stahmann's sleep disorder determination process to be a separate loop; the Examiner disagrees, as it is disclosed separately in Stahmann's description, performs a different function, and is related to the arousal index determination in that it is performed in response to such a determination of arousal, thus making it an inner control loop.

#### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3735

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAREN E. TOTH whose telephone number is (571)272-6824. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3735

/K. E. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 3735